

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19734 of 2013

=====

1. Raj Kumar Son Of Surendra Sharma Resident Of Village - Belhari, P.S. - Belaganj, District - Gaya At Present Residing Krishna Bhawan North T.N. Path Chiraiyatand, P.S. - Kankarbagh, P.O. - G.P.O., District - Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Patna High Court Through Its Registrar General
2. Coordination Committee Through Its Convener-Cum-District And Sessions Judge, Patna
3. The Convenor-Cum-District And Sessions Judge, Patna
4. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar Patna
5. The Secretary, Law Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinu Kumar,
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh,
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocates.
For Respondent No.1 : Mr. Mrigank Mauli, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Mani Kant Mishra.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

16 18-04-2016

Heard the parties.

As it emerges during the course of argument whatever vacancies were published in pursuance of advertisement dated 6.2.2011 in Dainik Jagran, all posts arising from the advertisement have already been filled up and after gap of two years a fresh advertisement has been issued on 8.2.2016 inviting applications from the eligible candidates for appointment to Class III posts. Life of earlier advertisement published in 2011 has exhausted and

substituted by a fresh advertisement.

It is well known principle of law that the panel prepared cannot be used as repository for the future vacancy.

As all the posts have been filled up, there is no reason to decide the issue on merit. The petitioner, if so advised, may apply against the fresh advertisement.

With the aforesaid observation this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--