

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12555 of 2013

=====

Rahul Kumar Singh Son Of Rajan Prasad Singh Resident Of Village -
Bathotar, P.S. - B.Kothi, District - Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Purnea
5. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Purnea Division, Purnea
6. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Purnea Division, Purnea
7. The Circle Officer, Barhara Kothi, District - Purnea

.... Respondent/s

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 08-03-2016 None for the petitioner.

Heard counsel for the State.

The writ application prays for a direction upon

the respondents not to construct the road on his Raiyati

land.

Counsel for the State, referring to diverse

statements made in the counter affidavit, particularly

those in paragraph 8 and 9, contended that the


application has become infructuous as the portion of

the road on the Raiyati land has been stopped and the

construction made therefrom has been removed. There is

no rejoinder to the said counter affidavit.

In view of aforesaid, the application is
dismissed as having become infructuous.



(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--