

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22326 of 2013

=====

1. Chandrashekhar Singh @ Dhananjay Singh Son Of Sri Madan Singh
Resident Of Village- Pratappur, P.S.- Hussainganj, District- Siwan
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Director General Of Police, Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Siwan
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Siwan
4. The Officer In Charge Of Siwan Town Police Station, District- Siwan
..... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Abhay Shankar Jha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2016 The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 29.09.2012

passed by the respondent Superintendent of Police whereby it was

informed that he can be provided the Bodyguard but on payment.

Counsel for the State has drawn attention of the Court to the

averments made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the

respondent nos. 3 after service. However, there is no rejoinder to

the counter affidavit.

While enclosing the proceedings of the District Security

Committee, the respondent has stated as under in paragraph 4:-

“..... And when the petitioner filed petition
to provide bodyguard to him, the same was put up
before the District Security Committee in which the
then Superintendent of Police Siwan and Dy. S.P.
Spl. Branch Chapra Saran were present beside
District Magistrate Siwan, Chairman of the
Committee and in the said meeting dated 25.09.2013,
it was intimated by Dy. Superintendent of Police SPL
Branch that the petitioner had been provided

bodyguard on the condition of payment and for so a sum of Rs. 305082/- was due, to be paid by the petitioner. In the committee it was also considered about the petitioner past criminal antecedent and recently a case had been instituted against him in Siwan Town PS. Further it was also considered that there had been no complaint of any overt-act committed against the petitioner, still it was decided by the committee that if the petitioner pays the amount due to him then for providing bodyguard to the petitioner may be considered.”

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to allow the application. The application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--