

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.1403 of 2016

=====

Kaili Devi

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Santu Yadav

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO

ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2016 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perused the impugned order dated 28.09.2016 passed by
learned Munsif, Jamui in Title Suit No.29 of 1995.

It may be mentioned here that the plaintiff and the wife of
respondent No.1 is also plaintiff along with the petitioner.
Application has been filed by the petitioner for directing the
plaintiff No.2 for being examined as witnesses in this case. This
application has been rejected by the Court below by the impugned
order dated 28.09.2016.

It may be mentioned here that according to the statement of
the learned counsel, the wife of defendant respondent No.1 and
this petitioner herein are both plaintiffs. This plaintiff has already
been examined as witnesses. For examining a party as witness in
the suit, no direction from the Court is necessary. It is for the
party themselves to be examined as witnesses.



Thus, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order and accordingly, this Civil Misc. application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

