

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12609 of 2015

=====

1. Janaradan Hembram son of Chaklall Hembram, resident of Kachri Gali, Saidpur,
P.s.- Kadamkuan, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Education,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Research and Training Institute Directorate, Government of Bihar,
Patna
4. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna
5. The Regional Director, NCTE, Bhubaneshwar
6. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. ROY SHIVAJI NATH, AAG III

for the BPSC : Mr Lalit Kishore, Sr Advocate with
Ms Binita Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE I A ANSARI
&
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I A ANSARI)

Date: 06-05-2016

In compliance with the directions, given by the order, dated
28.04.2016, the Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, and the
Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar,
Patna, are personally present in Court alongwith all relevant records.

2 Heard Mr Amit Prakash, learned Counsel for the petitioner,
and Mr Roy Shivaji Nath, learned Additional Advocate General,
appearing on behalf of State-respondents. Heard also Mr Lalit
Kishore, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of Bihar Public

Service Commission.

3 Drawing our attention to the counter affidavit of respondent No 6, Mr Lalit Kishore, learned Senior Counsel, submits that the clarifications, which had been sought for by the Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, have been furnished and the process of selection will, now, commence, which will consist of two parts. One selection process would be pursuant to the advertisement, which has already been published, and the other selection process would be commenced within a period of ten days from the date of issuance of necessary advertisement in this regard.

4 In view of the above, we do not find any reason to continue with the writ petition, which has been filed in the form of Public Interest Litigation, and, therefore, close this writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to move, in future, appropriate applications, if the situation so warrants.

5 With the above observations and directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

(I A Ansari, ACJ)

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	