

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2202 of 2013

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16466 of 2011

- =====
1. Rajeev Ranjan Kumar S/O Tarun Prasad Resident Of Maharajganj, P.O+ P.S+ District- Jamui, At Present Working In Navin Primary School, Bithalpur Maktab, Jamui Applied Under E.B.C. Category.
 2. Niranjan Kumar S/O Baiju Yadav Resident Of Village- Kaira Kado, P.O- Mangobondar, P.S- Khaira, Distt- Jamui, At Present Working In Upgraded Middle School Bithalpur, Jamui, Applied Under B.C. Category.
 3. Manohar Kumar Singh S/O Janardan Prasad Singh Resident Of Village- Kaira Kado, P.O- Mango Bandar, P.S- Khaira, Distt- Jamui, At Present Working In Upgraded Middle School Nimarang Kanya, Jamui, Applied Under General Category.
 4. Anil Kumar Singh S/O Jagdish Singh Resident Of Village- Marudi, P.O- Roh, P.S+ District- Nawadah, At Present Working In Primary School, Handih Maktab Jamui Applied Under General; Category.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department (Now Education Department), Govt. Of Bihar, Patna, Namely Sri Amarjeet Sinha.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government Of Bihar, Patna, Namely Sri Ajay Kumar Chaudhary.
3. The District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Jamui, Through Its Member, Namely Sri Naresh Singh.
4. The District Magistrate, Jamui, Namely Sri Mayank Barbarey.
5. The District Superintendent Of Education-Cum- District Programme Co-Ordinator, Jamui, P.O+ P.S+ Distt- Jamui, Namely Sri Narendra Kumar.
6. The Sub- Divisional Education Officer, Jamui, P.O+ P.S+ District- Jamui, Namely Narendra Kumar.
7. The Nagar Primary Selection Unit, Jamui- Cum- S.D.E.O. Jamui, P.O+ P.S+ Distt- Jamui, Namely Sri Narendra Kumar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prakash Mahto

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Madhubala Kumari, A.C. to G.A. II

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA

ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners claim violation of the interim order dated 26.09.2011 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 16466 of 2011.

This Court by the said order dated 26.09.2011 had directed the respondents to maintain status quo as of that date in similar terms as the interim order dated 27.07.2011 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 12042 of 2011.

Show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite party no. 5 stating therein that the petitioners had remained absent without any information from the date prior to the date of passing of the status-quo order and had stopped working/removed by the headmaster of the concerned school and in the circumstances it cannot be said that the status quo order has been violated.

Learned counsel for the petitioners relying on the reply to the show cause states that after passing of the order of status quo, any action or attempt by the opposite party to change the status quo is in violation of the order/direction of this Court.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any merit in the contempt application. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

Amin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

