

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6181 of 2015

=====

Satrudhan Singh Son of Sri Ram Snehi Singh, resident of village- Manpaur, P.S.-
Bela, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Chairman, Bihar Madarsa Education Board, Bihar, Patna
4. The Secretary, Bihar Madarsa Education Board, Bihar, Patna
5. The Collector, Sitamarhi
6. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi
7. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi
8. The Block Development Officer, Parihar, Distt.- Sitamarhi
9. The Block Education Extension Officer, Parihar, Distt.- Sitamarhi
10. The Nodal Officer, Designated Post- DCLR Parihar, Distt.- Sitamarhi

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Avnish Nandan Sinha, G.P.11
For Madarsa Board : Mr. Rashid Alam, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-01-2016

With the nature of dispute being raised in the present writ application where the true identity of the two Madarsas are required to be established as to which is the authentic one, the actual forum for such adjudications will be a civil court of competent jurisdiction which can go into all facets of evidence and make a declaration. Article 226 of the Constitution of India being a summary proceeding is not the answer for such dispute.

Writ is dismissed with liberty as above.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--