

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.687 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19565 of 2010

=====

Sujata Kumari, W/O- Sri Bindi Yadav, R/o- Village- Harlikhurd, P.S.- Bodh Gaya,
District- Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. R.K. Mahajan, Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar.
3. Mr. Cherri Bulli, Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Priyanandan Prasad, District Superintendent of Education Gaya.
5. Mr. Ajay Kumar, Block Development Officer, Block-Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
6. Mr. Satya Narayan Sah, Block Education Extension Officer, Block-Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
7. The Member, District Teacher Employment Appellant Authority, Gaya.
8. Mr. Ashok Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Kanhaul, Block Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
9. Mr. Shankar Yadav, Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Kanhaul, Block - Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.
10. Rinku Kumari, D/o- Sri Rajendra Prasad Yadav, R/o- Village- Lahara, P.S.- Bodh-Gaya, District- Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the State : Mr. Subhash Pd. Singh, GA-3
Mr. Dilip Kumar, AC to GA-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 24-08-2016

No one appears for the petitioner.

I.A. Nos. 6853 and 6854 of 2016 have been filed
by the opposite party Nos. 5 and 6 praying for exemption of their
personal appearance for today on account of their being deputed
for flood relief work. It is further stated in the said interlocutory

applications that the order under contempt has been complied with by paying the entire arrears of salary for 88 months for the period from December, 1988 to March, 2016 to the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, the Opposite Party Nos. 5 and 6 are exempted from personal appearance for today.

I.A. Nos. 6853 and 6854 of 2016 are, accordingly, disposed of.

Two show causes have been filed respectively on behalf of the Opposite Party Nos. 5 and 6 and in both of which the same facts have been stated regarding the petitioner having been paid the entire salary of 88 months for the period from December, 1988 to March, 2016 in terms of the order under contempt and thus the order under contempt has been complied with.

It is submitted by learned Government Advocate No. 3 that the salary for the months of April, 2016 onwards have not been paid to any employee as the same is awaiting grants from the Central Government.

It is also submitted that the names of the Block Development Officers and the Block Education Extension Officers posted during the period has also been supplied in the

show causes filed.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it appears that the order under contempt has been complied with.

The proceedings of contempt are, accordingly, dropped against the opposite party nos. 5 and 6.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

S.Pandey/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	19.09.2016
Transmission Date	