

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.375 of 2015

=====

1. Santosh Jha @ Santosh Kumar Jha Son of Chandrashekhar Jha Resident of village - Dostiya, P.S. Puranhia, District – Sheohar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Additional Director General of Police (Head Quarter), Bihar, Patna
6. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur Range, Muzaffarpur
7. The Inspector General of Prisons, Government of Bihar, Patna
8. The Superintendent of Police, Sheohar
9. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi
10. The Superintendent of Police, Gopalganj
11. The Superintendent of Police, Gaya
12. The Jail Superintendent, Central Jail, Gaya
13. The Jail Superintendent, Sitamarhi
14. The Jail Superintendent, Sheohar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No 13

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kr Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

3 13-12-2016 Heard Mr. Anisur Rahman for the petitioner and Mr. Dhurjati Prasad, G.P.-14 for the State.

Diverse counter affidavits/supplementary counter affidavits have been filed on behalf of the concerned respondents.

The present application seeks a direction upon the authorities to produce the petitioner before the learned Trial Court in connection with trial arising out of Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 26 of 2010 as he is lodged in Central Jail, Gaya. It is stated that the presently the petitioner is lodged in the District Jail, Darbhanga.



In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent nos. 4 to 7, it is stated that the petitioner was produced in the Court in the said case on 12.05.2016 wherein the next date was fixed as 24.05.2016 when the petitioner shall be produced on appropriate order of the Court before the Trial Court.

Counsel for the State, referring to Annexure-B to the supplementary counter affidavit of respondent no. 8, submits that the District and Sessions Judge, Sheohar has already passed an order on 07.05.2016 for production of the accused who is security threat to the society through video conferencing from different jail in course of the pending trial.

In view of the above, the Court, in the present facts and circumstances of the case, considers the application as having become infructuous. It is dismissed as such.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

