

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7431 of 2015

=====

Babulal Ram son of Late Bhadaï Ram resident of village - Sondihara, Post
Office- Mumbai, P.S. Bhabua, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Building construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department (Higher Education Department), Bihar Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua. null null
4. The District Education Officer, Kaimur at Bhabua null null
5. The District Programme Officer, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Kaimur at Bhabua.
6. The District Programme Officer, Public Complaint cell, (Education Department), Kaimur at Bhabua.
7. Md. Hafiz, In charge Principal, Upgraded Middle School, Sondihara, Block- BHabua, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. P.K. Verma, AAG-5
Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 13-01-2016 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, learned Counsel for

the petitioner, and Mr. P.K. Verma, learned Additional Advocate

General No.5, appearing for the State respondents.

After some arguments, Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg,
learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw
this application, made, under Article 226 of the Constitution of
India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, with liberty to
approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if

so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

However, we make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merit of the present writ petition.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--