

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3536 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8217 of 2015

=====

Harshvardhan Pareek, through its Managing Director of Pareek Power Pvt. Ltd., Main Road, Ranchi-834001, Jharkhand, Son of Mr. Om Prakash Pareek, resident at Pareek House, Radheysham Lane, Old Commissioenr Compound, Ranchi-834001, P.S. Daily Market, District Ranchi.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar State Hydroelectric Power Corporation Ltd., through the Chairman, 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marge, Patna.
2. P. Amrit, name of the father not known the Chairman, 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand, Patel Marg Patna,
3. Pankaj kumar Paul, as the Acting M.D., name of father not known the Managing Director, 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand, Patel Marg, Patna,
4. Manishran, name of father not known The Chief Engineer (Electrical), 2nd Floor, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg Patna,

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ramendra Nath Mukhopadhaya, Advocate

For Opposite Parties : Mr. Awanish Nandan Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-07-2016

Heard the parties.

2. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging deliberate violation of the judgment dated 19.08.2015 passed in CWJC No. 8217 of 2015 requiring the authorities, inter alia, to dispose of the representation of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite parties submits that an aggregate amount of Rs. 91,71,311/- had already been paid to the petitioner in the past. Further statement is made at the Bar on behalf of the opposite parties that an amount of Rs.

6,96,065/- has been transferred by RTGS to the account of the petitioner towards his interest on the admitted dues. It is, therefore, submitted that the judgment of this Court now stands complied with.

4. Having regard to the statements made on behalf of the opposite parties, the contempt petition stands disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner that in case he be aggrieved by the calculation of interest as paid by the respondents, he may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.07.2016
Transmission Date	N/A