

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.38429 of 2016**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -101 Year- 2016 Thana -HASPURA District- AURANGABAD

1. Rajendra Yadav son of Bishram Yadav,  
2. Bhushan Yadav son of Rajendra Yadav, Both resident of Village  
Bahadur Bigha, P.S. Haspura, District Aurangabad.

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

**Appearance:**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ashok Kumar No. 6, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. J.K. Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences  
alleged under Sections 448, 341, 323, 427, 324, 307, 504 and 506  
of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Haspura  
P.S. Case No. 101 of 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely  
implicated and in any event the thrust of accusation of assault  
with 'Garasa' is on co-accused Shekhar Yadav. There is case and  
counter case between the parties. It is further submitted that the  
injuries are simple in nature. The petitioners claim clean  
antecedents.

4. Having regard to the entirety of the facts and  
circumstances, in the event of the petitioners' arrest or surrender  
before the court below within six weeks from the date of  
communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be  
released on bails on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten  
thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the

satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Daudnagar in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 101 of 2016 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions-

(i) That one of the bailors of each of the petitioners shall be their close relative other than the petitioners herein.

(ii) That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

Chandran/BT

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|