

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1333 of 2015

=====

Sundeshwar Tiwari, Son of Late Raj Karan Tiwari, resident of Village-
Dudhpura, P.S.-Muffasil, District- Samastipur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Secretary, Department of Home (Police),
Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Old Secretariat, Bailey
Road, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of police, Bihar, Patna
4. The Additional Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, Samastipur
6. The Superintendent of Police, Samastipur
7. Chandan Kumar, son of Sita Ram Mahto,
8. Sita Ram Mahto, son of not known Both are resident of Village-
Chatauna, P.S.-Muffasil, District- Samastipur
9. Ajit Mahto, son of Ram Lakhan Mahto,
10. Ram Lakhan Mahto, son of Late Panchu Mahto, Both are resident of
Village-Partapur Chandharpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, District- Samastipur
11. Guddu Sahni, son of Ram Bahadur Sahni, resident of Village- Gopalpur,
Durgasthan, P.S.-Kalyanpur, District- Samastipur
12. Amrendra Kumar @ Amresh, son of Shiv Narayan Singh, resident of
Village- Ladaura, P.S. Kalyanpur, District- Samastipur

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Krishna Chandra, Advocate

For the Respondent State: Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 21-01-2016 Heard Mr. Krishna Chandra, learned Counsel for the

petitioner, and Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, learned Assistant

Counsel to Additional Advocate General No.13, appearing for the

State respondents.

After some arguments, Mr. Krishna Chandra, learned

Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioners to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--