

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3869 of 2014

=====

Smt. Neshat Ajazi D/o Maqbool Ahmad Ajazi, W/o Dr. Md. Nasibur Rahman Resident of Mohalla - Khelafat Bagh (Mehsaul Chowk), Ward No. 27, P.S. : Town Thana, P.O. : and District : Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur
5. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi
6. Maqbool Ahmad Ajazi S/o Late Dr. M.A. Ajazi, Ajazi Resident of Mohalla : Tilak Maidan Road, Ajazi House, Dr. Ajazi Path, P.S. : Town Thana Muzaffarpur, District : Muzaffarpur
7. Md. Sharfuddin S/o Late Md. Shadique
8. Md. Moizuddin S/O Late Md. Shadique
9. Md. Sadruddin S/O Late Md. Shadique all Are Resident of Mohalla : Khelafat Bagh (Mehsaul Chowk), Ward No. 27, P.S. : Town Thana, P.O. And District: Sitamarhi
10. Rashid Iqbal S/O Neshar Ahmad Resident of Mohalla : Sanatpur, P.O. And P.S. : Nautan Dubey, West Champaran, District : Betia, Pin Code - 845438

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Pankaj

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 27-09-2016

Heard.

The petitioner is a lady and has filed the present writ application for a direction upon the police authorities not to harass her husband or any relative(s) of her husband. Such prayer was made with reference to Muzaffarpur P.S. Case No. 131 of 2012 registered under Section 366, 366(A) of the I.P.C. On her own

volition, she claims to have married with Md. Nasibur Rahman. The father of the petitioner, however, lodged the aforesaid case. In these factual backgrounds, the writ petition is filed.

The counsel for the State has drawn attention of the Court to the statements made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent-Superintendent of Police. In paragraph 9 thereof, the history of the case has been noted and it's stated that having investigated the police found the case not substantiated and a final form (Annexure-A) has been filed. On these facts stated in the counter affidavit, it has been submitted that the application has become infructuous as the relief was prayed against the police authority in relation to the aforesaid criminal case lodged by her father. The court is in agreement with the submission of the State counsel.

The application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--