

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12599 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1370 Year- 2013 Thana -NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE District-
NAWADA

=====

1. Dharmendra Paswan Son Of Brahmdeo Paswan @ Barho Paswan
2. Brhmdeo Paswan @ Barho Paswan Son Of Late Khaderan Paswan
3. Lalgani Devi Wife Of Brahmdeo Paswan @ Barho Paswan
4. Kalo Devi Wife Of Dharmendra Paswan All Are Resident Of Village-
Sumka, P.S- Ariari, District- Shekhpura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Poonam Devi Wife Of Dharmendra Paswan, Daughter Of Narayan
Paswan Resident Of Village- Sumka, P.S- Ariari, District- Sheikhpura, At
Present Village- Rupau, P.S- Rupau, District- Sheikhpura.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Prasad Singh -Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyavrat Verma(A.P.P.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

05 19-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

Seen the report submitted by the High Court
Mediation Centre wherefrom it is evident that parties have come
to resolve their dispute. Instant prosecution relates with offence
punishable under Section 498A as well as 494 of the I.P.C. At an
earlier occasion, husband, Sautin along with father in-law and
mother in-law were the petitioners. However, instant petition has
been withdrawn relating to husband as well as Sautin (petitioner
nos.1 and 4, respectively) and so, survives relating to father in-law

and mother in-law.

Considering the subsequent development as disclosed above, the order of cognizance dated 07.01.2014 passed by the Sub-divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nawada concerning Complaint Case No.1370 of 2013 relating to petitioners is quashed. Consequent thereupon, instant petition is allowed.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--