

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.38906 of 2016**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -71 Year- 2015 Thana -MOKAMAH District- PATNA

=====

Randhir Kumar Son of Dashrath Mahto Resident of Village- Nepal Tola,  
Maranchi, P.S. Maranchi, District- Patna.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Kashyap

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arbind Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR**  
**MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2 05-10-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Mokama P.S.Case  
No.71 of 2015 registered for the offences under Sections 420, 406,  
467, 468 and 120(B) of I.P.C.

The allegation of the informant/complainant,  
Mansoor Alam is that in the year 2010 his villager Raghunath  
Mahto met him introducing himself as a Cashier in a company,  
namely, M/s Common Weal Beverage Company Ltd.and told him  
that the Company is doing business of depositing the money of the  
public and the Company is doing well in returning maturity  
amount to policy holder. Thereafter the informant connected with  
the Company after receiving Identity Card and began business of



the Company and got deposited a sum of Rs.80/- lacs in the said Company from the policy holder who were his kith and keen and in course of doing business co-accused, Kasinath Mahto, who used to accompany the petitioner was also one of the deputy Manager of the Company. But latter on the Company was closed of which Raj Kumar Mahto and Ramashish Mahto were Manager and Deputy Manager and this petitioner was Holder Member of Deputy Cashier.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, petitioner is the Railway employee and he never indulged in business of Common Weal Beverages Company and he has been falsely implicated in this case with ulterior motive. Moreover, Raghunath Mahto who is said to be cashier and asked the complainant to do the work of the Company and one co-accused Kashinath Mahto one of the Holder Members of the Company have already been granted anticipatory bail vide Cr.Misc.No.33804 of 2016 on 20.08.2016.

Having considered the facts and the circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above name, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the

A.C.J.M., Barh, Patna, in Mokama P.S.Case No.71 of 2015  
subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of  
Cr.P.C.

**(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)**

AnilKrSinha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|