

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15632 of 2013

=====

1. Mamta Rani W/O Sanjay Kumar Resident Of Mohalla - Amber Lalo Pokhar
Biharsharif, P.S. - Bihar, District - Nalanda

2. Abhishek Kumar Son Of Dayanand Prasad Resident Of Mohalla Amber,
Uchchaka Par, P.S. - Bihar, District - Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna

2. The Secretary, Law Department, Bihar, Patna

3. Patna High Court through Its Registrar General

4. The Convener Co-Ordination Committee-Cum-The District and Sessions Judge,
Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate.

For Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. Mrigank Mauli, Advocate.

For the State Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, AC to GP25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-04-2016

Heard the parties.

As it emerges during the course of argument whatever vacancies were published in pursuance of advertisement dated 6.2.2011 in Dainik Jagran, all posts arising from the advertisement have already been filled up and after gap of two years a fresh advertisement has been issued on 8.2.2016 inviting applications from the eligible candidates for appointment to Class III posts. Life of earlier advertisement published in 2011 has exhausted and substituted by a fresh advertisement

It is well known principle of law that the panel prepared

cannot be used as repository for the future vacancy.

As all the posts have been filled up, there is no reason to decide the issue on merit. The petitioners, if so advised, may apply against the fresh advertisement.

With the aforesaid observation this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--