

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17141 of 2015

=====

Sheikh Arkan Ahmad, Advocate S/o Late Sheikh Qutubuddin Ahmad, Court
Address : Kings Chamber, Advocate Association, Patna High Court, Patna, A.O.R.
No. 02716, Permanent resident of Village Babhnaul, P.S. Dawath, District- Rohtas,
presently residing in Mohalla- New Millat Colony, Sector-1, SABjpura,
Phulwarisharif, Town and District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Cabinet Secretary, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Information and Broadcasting Ministry, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. Sri Narendra Modi, the Hon'ble Prime Minister, the Government of India, New Delhi.
4. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Information and Public Relations Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Road Construction Deptt, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. Sri Nitish Kumar, the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate
For the Union of India	:	Mr. S. D. Sanjay, Addl. S.G. Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, C.G.C.
For the Respondents-State	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore- PAAG-I Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kr. Singh, Mr. Manish Dhari Singh, A.C. to P.A.A.G.-I

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 22-12-2016

The petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court
in public interest for direction to the Prime Minister and the Central
Government to pay the package announced in a public meeting by the
Prime Minister.



Similar question was raised in CWJC No.7691 of 2016 (Anil Kumar Mukund Vs. Hon’ble Prime Minister of India & Ors.) which was dismissed on 20th September, 2016 and CWJC No.14443 of 2015 (Pratush Kumar Vs. The Union of India & Ors.) which was dismissed on 17th November, 2016. It has been held that the announcements made in a public function are not enforceable through the interventions of the Court.

In view thereof, we do not find any merit in the present writ application. The same is dismissed.

(Hemant Gupta, ACJ)

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Sunil

AFR/NAFR	N. A. F. R.
CAV DATE	N. A.
Uploading Date	23.12.2016
Transmission Date	

