

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.54413 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -29 Year- 2014 Thana -COMPLAINT CASE District- LAKHISARAI

- =====
1. AMIT KUMAR PRASAD @ AMIT KUMAR,
2. Sumit Kumar, Both sons of Rajkumar Paswan, Both resident of village -
Dighri, P.S. Suryagarha, District - Lakhisarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ram Bahadur Mahto, Son of Dhoray Mahto, resident of village - Dighri,
P.S. Suryagarha, District - Lakhisarai

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Manish Kumar 2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 323, 379 and 468 of
the Indian Penal Code.

The matter relates to land dispute between one Raj
Kumar Prasads Paswan and the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that
these petitioners have no concern with alleged land. Neither they
have executed any sale deed nor received any amount against the
sale of land. There is no direct allegation against these petitioners
as they are only witness of the sale deed executed by Raj Kumar



Prasad Paswan in favour of the complainant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is allowed. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below, within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand rupees only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai in connection with Complaint Case No.29C of 2014, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

