

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.151 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 22762 of 2012

=====

Rajeshwar Prasad Yadav, S/o- Late Nandlal Prasad Yadav @ Nalanda Prasad Yadav, a resident of village Atta, P.S. Marhowrah, District Saran

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Building Construction Department, Patna namely Mr.Ganga Prasad
2. Chief Engineer (North) Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna namely Mr. Navin Kumar
3. Superintending Engineer Building Circle, Chapra namely Mr. Deenanath Choudhary
4. Executive Engineer, Bhawan, Division- Chapra, District- Chapra namely Mr. Hare Ram Kumar
5. Assistant Engineer (North) Chapra Division, Chapra, District- Chapra namely Mr. Pramod Kumar
6. Junior Engineer (North) Chapra Division, Chapra, District- Chapra namely Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harish Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Durgesh Nandan, AAG-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 15-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.A.G. 14 for the State.

The petitioner alleges wilful disobedience of the order dated 18.04.2013 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No.22762 of 2012. By the said order, this Court had directed the Chief Engineer (North), Building Construction Department, Patna to consider and dispose of the claim of the petitioner within a period of two months and the admitted amount was also to be paid within a further period of two



months thereafter.

In the show cause filed on behalf of the Opposite Party Nos.2 to 6, it is stated that as a matter of fact the claim of the petitioner has already been considered and disposed of by the Liability Committee of the Department, but in view of the orders of this Court a speaking order was passed on 25.03.2015 by the Chief Engineer (North), Building Construction Department, Patna, by which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

In the aforesaid circumstances, no case for proceeding under the contempt jurisdiction of this Court is made out. The contempt application is accordingly dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

Narendra/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	14.12.2016
Transmission Date	

