

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.31448 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -85 Year- 2016 Thana -LALIT NARAYAN UNIVERSITY District-
DARBHANGA

Dr. Laxmi Narayan Thakur @ Lakshmi Narayan Thakur, son of Late
Bhagwat Thakur, Resident of mohalla- Shankar Colony Rahamganj, P.S-
Laheriasarai, District- Darbhanga. At present posted as lecturer in M.L.S.M
College, Darbhanga.

..... Petitioner/s
Versus
1. The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyendra Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**
ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
L.N.M.U. P.S. Case No. 85 of 2016 pending in the Court of
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga under Sections
467,468,471,420 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Accusation is that the petitioner was appointed as
verifier for computerize tabulation chart in respect to examination
result of B.S.C Vocational Examination, 2015 by the M.L.S.M
University Darbhanga and the petitioner had manipulated the said
chart and declared one candidate namely, Md. Chand bearing Roll
No. 1421390022 pass in place of fail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that it is not in dispute that the petitioner was appointed as verifier of the computerize chart of the result of B.SC 1st Year examination of Darbhanga University and as soon as this petitioner came to know about wrong entry of the marks in the tabulation chart he instantly sent a letter to the Principal of concern college and the examination department on 21.03.2014. It is also submitted that some other officials made alteration in the chart submitted by the petitioner to the Examination Department with mala fide intention.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail stands rejected. However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the court below and pray for regular bail, which shall be considered by the trial Court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Prakash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--