

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5340 of 2014

=====

1. Hira Kumari Wife Of Sanjay Kumar Mehta Resident Of Village Balu Tol, P.O. Nirpur, P.S. Barhara Kothi, District - Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Regional Development Officer, Purnea Commissioner, Purnia
3. The Collector, Purnea
4. The District Programme Officer, Purnea
5. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Dhamdaha, Purnea
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Barhara Kothi, Purnea
7. Kumari Madhu Lala Wife Of Dilip Kumar Resident Of Village - Babu Tol, Panchayat Aurlaha, P.S. Barhara Kothi, District - Purnea

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Mrityunjay Kumar
Mr. Amardeep

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.Raza Ahmad, AAG 9
Mr Md. Anisur Rahman

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 26-02-2016

There are disputed questions of fact with regard to authenticity of Annexure 1/1 and Annexure 1/2.

The Court has closely looked at both the Annexures and is of the considered opinion that a lame effort has been made to create a document to defeat the right of the private respondent and Annexure 1/1 is the authentic signature of the private respondent as well as the marks-sheet and Annexure 1/2 is the one created by a vested interest. Whether the petitioner had a role in it or not is not for this Court to decide.

In these circumstances, no interference is warranted with the order impugned contained in Annexure- 7, dated 20.2.2014.

The private respondent has rightly got order in her favour for such appointment. If the petitioner wants she can file suit and get an appropriate declaration with regard to Annexure 1/2.

Writ is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

U			
---	--	--	--