

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27595 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -148 Year- 2016 Thana -GOPALGANJ CITY District- GOPALGANJ

1. Krishnawati Devi, wife of Madan Sharma
2. Rima Kumari, D/o Madan Sharma
3. Madan Sharma, Son of Achhelal Sharma
4. Guddu Sharma @ Guddhu Kumar Sharma, Son of Madan Sharma
All Resident of Village- Harakhuwa Nawka Tola, P.S. & District-
Gopalganj

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Srivastava, Advocate
For the Informant : Mr. Vikas Ratan Bharti, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-10-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State. Learned Counsel for the
informant is also present.

The petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 being the mother-in-law,
father-in-law and Devar of the deceased lady are apprehending
their arrest in connection with Gopalganj (Town) P.S. Case No.
148 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable under Sections
304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Case diary was called for, which has since been
received.

Earlier unmarried Nanad, namely, Rima Kumari

(Petitioner no.2) had been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State after perusal of the case diary submits that there is sufficient material in the case diary to indicate the complicity of the present petitioners in the occurrence.

Accordingly, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, namely, Krishnawati Devi, Madan Sharma and Guddu Sharma @ Guddhu Kumar Sharma. It is thus rejected.

The application for regular bail, however, may be considered without being prejudiced of this order.

(Anjana Mishra, J.)

ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--