

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.978 of 2010

=====

1. Babu Raj Paswan S/O Late Nandjee Paswan R/O Vill. & P.O.- Chotki Naini Joor, P.S. Naini Joor, Distt.- Buxar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Through Its Secretary, Namely, Mr. G.K.Pillai, I.A.S., New Delhi
2. The Secretary, Ministry Of Home Affairs, Namely Mr. G.K.Pillai, I.A.S., Union Of India, New Delhi
3. The Central Reserve Police Force, Through Inspector General Of Police, Namely Chandra Bhan Singh Rathore, Bihar Sector, Crpf, Patna
4. The Director General Of Police, Namely Mr. A.K.Srivastava, I.P.C., Crpf, Lodhi Road, New Delhi
5. The Inspector General Of Police, Bihar Sector, Patna Namely Chandra Bhan Singh Rathore
6. The Additional Deputy Inspector General Of Police, Dhanbad, Jharkhand, Mr. N.B.Bhosley
7. The Department Rehabilitation Board, Bihar Sector C.R.P.F. Patna Through Its Chairman, Chandra Bhan Singh Rathore

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : M/S Chakrapani & Dipak Kumar
Mr. Madhuresh Singh

For the Respondent/s : M/S S. D. Sanjay(Addl.S.G.) & Rajesh Kumar
Verma (C.G.C.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH
ORAL ORDER

24 13-01-2016 Learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India states that the entire payment has been made.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that , no doubt, they have made the entire payment, but payment for various years having been clubbed together, and substantial amount has been deducted as income tax.

3. In my view, that being statutory liability, there is no escape from that deduction. However, the petitioner is entitled to move the Income-Tax authorities, and seek necessary adjustments, as may be permissible in law.

4. With these observations, the proceeding is terminated.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

B.K.Roy/-

U			
---	--	--	--