

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13957 of 2015

=====

Nageshwar Singh, son of late Saryug Singh, resident of village - Bishunpur
Taraura, P.S. - Mahua, District - Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Co-operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Joint Secretary (Vigilance) Co-operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Economic Offence Unit, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector, Vaishali at Hajipur, District - Vaishali.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali at Hajipur, District - Vaishali.
6. District Co-operative Officer, Hajipur, District - Vaishali.
7. District Supply Officer, Vaishali, District - Vaishali.
8. Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua, District - Vaishali.
9. Office-in-charge of Mahua, District - Vaishali.
10. Arbind Kumar son of Sri Ramudgar Singh resident of village + Post - Taraura, P.S. - Mahua, District - Vaishali, Ex-Chairman, Primary Agriculture Credit Co-operative Samiti (PACS), Taraura, District - Vaishali.
11. Rabindra Kumar son of Sri Ramudgar Singh resident of village + Post - Taraura, P.S. - Mahua, District - Vaishali, Chairman, Primary Agriculture Credit Co-operative Samiti (PACS), Taraura, District - Vaishali.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate
Mrs. Bela Singh, Advocate

For the Respondents-State: Mr. Anjani Kumar- AAG No.-6
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, A.C. to A.A.G.-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

And

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 20-01-2016 Heard Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned Counsel,

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Anjani Kumar, learned

Additional Advocate General No.6, appearing for the State-

respondents.

After some arguments, Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned Counsel, appearing for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--