

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6926 of 2014

=====

Bimla Devi Wife of Madan Prasad Resident of Mohalla - Mithatoli, Gang No. 01,
Ilam Ram Chowk, P.S. Betia, District - West Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary Oil and National Gas, New Delhi.
2. The Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. through its Chairman, Mumbai.
3. The General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, 5th Floor, Dak Bunglow Chowk, Patna.
4. The Senior Divisional Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Retail Sales Muzaffarpur Division, Krishna Complex, Akharaghat Road, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Managing Director Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.
6. The D.G.M. Vigilance, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd, Kolkata.
7. The S.D.R.M. Indian Oil Corporation, Muzaffarpur.
8. Sumit Kumar Son of Binod Kumar Jaiswal Resident of Mohalla - Kotwali Chowk, Betia, District - West Champaran.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nagendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondents-IOC : Mr. K.D.Chatterjee, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Amlesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Ankit Katriar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Senior Counsel for the Respondent Corporation.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for direction to the respondents to cancel the result of the interview dated 24.04.2012 for the award of Kisan Seva Kendra of M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., (M.D.) at the location from Santghat Chowk, towards Paknaha within 600 metres, Block Bairia, District West Champaran, Bihar.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the respondent

authorities have deliberately removed the original of 'Appendix-A' from the application of the petitioner to disqualify her from the interview in connection with award of Kisan Seva Kendra of M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., at location from Santghat Chowk towards Paknaha within 600 metres, Block Bairia, District West Champaran.

4. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. K. D. Chatterjee appearing on behalf of Respondent Corporation opposes the writ petition, stating that the matter was enquired into, but the petitioner's complaint was found to be not substantiated. He refers to para 8 of the counter affidavit to state that the application of the petitioner contained not the original, but merely a photocopy of 'Appendix-A' which was attested by the petitioner with her signature on both pages. The original records containing the said photocopy with her attestation have also been produced for perusal of this Court.

5. Having regard to the nature of the grievance of the petitioner which essentially involves a disputed question of fact, this Court is not inclined to enter into the merits of the claim of the petitioner. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--