

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4431 of 2011

- =====
1. Harichanran Roy son of Arjun Roy.
 2. Siya Devi wife of Haricharan Roy, both residents of Vill-Triolokchak, Pergana Kasmer, PS- Dighwara, Distt-Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sugriv Roy son of Sadhu Rai, R/O Village-Triolok, Pergana Kasmer, PS- Dighwara, Distt-Saran.
2. Arjun Roy,
3. Bhim Roy, both sons of Late Ramsati Roy.
4. Lalan Roy,
5. Vishweshar Roy,
6. Kusheshwar Roy, all sons of Bhim Roy and all residents of Pergana Kasmer, PS- Dighwara, Distt-Saran.
7. Lakhandeo Roy,
8. Bishundeoy Roy, both sons of Late Sadhu Roy & residents of Pergana Kasmer, PS- Dighwara, Distt-Saran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER


7 23-02-2016 Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the respondents are present.

Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the instant petition has become worthless in the background of the fact that Title Suit No.15/2006 has already been disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks adjournment to test the authenticity of the submissions made on behalf of respondents.

When the Court is going to rely upon the submission made on behalf of respondents, then in that event, there happens to

be no occasion to distrust. As such, the instant petition, as has
become infructuous, is dismissed.



(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)