

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6883 of 2014

=====

Tabrej Alam Son of Nasir Ahmad Resident of Village- Laxmipur, P.O.- Kaurihar P.S.-
Raxaul, District- East Champaran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue And Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate Motihari District- East Champaran
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms Raxaul District- East Champaran
5. The Sub-Divisional officer, Raxaul District- East Champaran
6. The Circle officer Raxaul District- East Champaran
7. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Through The Chairman-Cum-General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Null G-9 Ali Yavar Jang Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai
8. The General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. G-9 Ali Yavar Jang Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai
9. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Bihar State Unit Through Its General Manager, 5th Floor, Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, Dak Banglow Chowk, Patna- 800001
10. The General Manager Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. (Marketing Division) Bihar State office, 5th Floor, Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan, Dak Bunglow Chowk, Patna- 800001
11. The Area Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Bihar State Unit (Marketing Division, Eastern Division), First Floor, Shahi Bhawan, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001
12. Smt. Abhilash Wife of Manish Rajpuri AND Daughter of Gaukaran Nath Resident of Village- Math Lohiyar Gram Panchayat Raj Math Lohiyar Block- Harsidhi P.S.- Harsidhi District- East Champaran At Present Mohallah- Mahadeowa Nai Basti, Block- Siwan, Siwan Municipal Area P.S.- Siwan Muffasil District- Siwan

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Anis Akhtar, Adv.
Mr. Arifdaula Siddiqui, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dr. Anshuman, SC-14
Mr. Kuber Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Sashi Sekhar Diwali, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Chandra, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 02-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a
direction to the respondents to dispose of the complaint dated
17.12.2013 (Annexure-8) made by the present petitioner under

Clause-9 of the advertisement against the candidature/selection of Smt. Abhilasha, respondent no. 12 herein under Rajiv Gandhi Rural LPG Distribution Scheme and to restrain the respondents from issuing Letter of Intent in favour of the said Smt. Abhilasha respondent no. 12.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite a complaint having been filed on 17.12.2013 in terms of Clause-9 of the advertisement against the selection of Smt. Abhilasha (respondent no. 12), the same remains pending before the authorities and no final decision has been taken in the matter.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent Corporation appears and has been heard in the matter.

5. Having regard to the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed with a direction to the Managing Director of the respondent Corporation to consider and dispose of the petitioner's complaint dated 17.12.2013, if still pending, expeditiously and preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, in accordance with law and after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U			
---	--	--	--