

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8391 of 2014

- =====
1. Md. Sami Alam S/o - Md. Siddique R/o - Village - Fakirachuk, P.O. - Rasalpur, P.S. - Salakua, District - Saharsa.
 2. Bibi Zeenat Parween D/o Md. Imran R/o - at AND P.O. - Rasalpur, District - Saharsa.
 3. Bibi Halima Ashraf D/o-Syed Manzoor Ashraf R/o - Vill - Ashrafchuk, P.O. and P.S. - Simri Bakhtiyarpur, District - Saharsa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Education Officer, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
4. The District Programme Officer (Ett.), Saharsa, District - Saharsa.
5. The Block Development Officer, Banma Ithari, District - Saharsa.
6. The Block Education Officer, Banma Ithari, District - Saharsa.
7. The Block Development Officer, Simari Bakhtiyarpur, District - Saharsa.
8. The Block Education Officer, Simari Bakhtiyarpur, District - Saharsa.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Maharas, Block - Banma Itari, District - Saharsa.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Maharas, Block - Banma Itari, District - Saharsa.
11. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Harewa, Block - Banma Itari, District - Saharsa.
12. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Harewa, Block - Banma Itari, District - Saharsa.
13. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Simari, Block - Simari Sakhtiarapur, District - Saharsa.
14. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Simari, Block - Simari, Sakhtiarapur, District - Saharsa.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar, AC to GP-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

A final opportunity for clearing TET as a matter of policy was decided by the State Government by giving third

opportunity to such teachers to pass the TET examination. The Court can take judicial notice of the fact that the TET examination has already been held and the results are awaited.

If these petitioners have availed the opportunity to participate in the said examination, they should await the outcome of the result and if they have not, they have to await the decision of the State Government with regard to all such teachers as and when the occasion arises. No direction is required to be issued by the Court in the above matter, especially when such exercise is being done on an indulgence shown by the Division Bench relating to a third opportunity for passing the TET examination.

Writ application is dismissed with above observation.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	06.09.2016
Transmission Date	