

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9962 of 2007

- =====
1. Hariodh Mandal son of Late Suken Mandal
 2. Ashok Kumar Mandal son of Late Sukun Mandal
- Both are residents of Village Kachhari Balua, P.S.Sarsi (Banmankhi), District Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Collector, Purnea
2. The Addl. Collector (Land Reforms), Purnea
3. The Deputy Collector (Land Reforms), Banmankhi, Purnea
4. The Circle Officer, Banmankhi, District Purnea
5. Chandra Narayan Singh son of Late Abhiram Singh, resident of Village Kachhari Balua, P.S.Sarsi (Banmankhi), District Purnea
6. Nityanand Gupta son of Late Haribansh Bhagat, resident of Village Kachhari Balua, P.S.Sarsi, District Purnea

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4: Mr. Sushant Praveer, AC to SC 9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 26-07-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioners in support of the present writ petition, though the name of the learned counsel appearing on their behalf is printed in the daily cause list.

The learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4 submits that batai claim of the private respondent was allowed by the original authority and has been affirmed by the appellate authority by reasoned and speaking orders passed by them. Therefore, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--