

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.144 of 2015

In
LPA 194 of 2009

=====

1. Batoran Singh, Son of Late Lochhan Singh, resident of Village- Nawalia,
P.O. Dighita, P.S. Kochas (Parsathua), District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Additional Member Board of Revenue Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Collector, Rohtas.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sasaram, Rohtas.
5. Jokhan Singh son of Late Parikshan Singh,
6. Kamal Prasad Singh son of Jokhan Singh, All resident of Village-
Nawalia, P.O. Dighita, P.S. Kochas (Parsathua), District- Rohtas.
7. Kameshwar Singh son of Bansh Narain Singh, resident of Village-
Nawalia, P.O. Dighita, P.S. Kochas (Parsathua), District- Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Makardhwaj Upadhyay

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

2 20-01-2016

I. A. No. 6420 of 2015

The delay in filing this review application is condoned.

Accordingly, this interlocutory application stands allowed.

The review petitioner was the appellant and the unsuccessful writ petitioner. He had lost the writ petition challenging the order of the Member Board of Revenue and in appeal also he lost before this Court.

In the review application, Sri Rajeev Roy, learned counsel, submits that he has taken the ground that the authority who heard the appeal had no jurisdiction. This ground was neither taken before the Member Board of Revenue nor in the writ petition nor in the Letters Patent Appeal. It cannot now be permitted to be taken, even if it was available. It is not a good ground for review of the order, this review application is accordingly, dismissed.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U			
---	--	--	--