

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.546 of 1999

=====

Shri Ram Singh, son of Late Ram Das Singh,

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Mr.Surendra Prasad Rai, Deputy Development Commissioner and others.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudama Singh -Advocate

Mr. Rajani Kant Singh –Advocate

For the O.P. No.1 & 2 : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-Advocate

For the O.P. No.3 : Mr. P. N. Shahi-Sr. Advocate-AAG-6

Mr. Dharendra Kumar-AC to AAG-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

08 16-09-2016

Seen the explanation submitted by the Registrar, List
& Computer, accepted.

In pursuance of order dated 06.09.2016, the Deputy Development Commissioner (D.D.C.), Rohtas at Sasaram as well as District Development Officer, District Board, Rohtas at Sasaram have their physical appearance along with show-cause containing different Annexures. From Annexure-A, it is apparent that order impugned has already been complied with though belatedly. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel representing O.P. Nos.1 and 2 that since after passing of the order impugned, there has not been an exercise for appointment of Class-III Post and so, the case of the petitioner could not be taken up.

Petitioner is non-represented.

However, though the order impugned is found to

have been properly complied with. Hence, keeping the interest of the petitioner immune, if any, instant proceeding is dropped. The physical presence of Opposite Parties No.1 and 2, D.D.C. and D.D.O., Rohtas at Sasaram are dispensed with.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--