

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1337 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2789 of 2015

=====

1. Ganga Sagar Ram son of Late Shyam Lal Ram resident of village - New Area Mohan Bigha Old Ward No. 6, New Ward No. 17, P.O. Dalmiya Nagar, Police Station - Dehri, District - Rohtas.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sandeep Kumar R. Pudakalkatti son of Father's name not known the district Magistrate, Rohtas.
3. Seema Rani d/o father's name not known the Circle Officer, Dehri, District - Rohtas.
4. The Nagar Parishad Dehri through its Chief Councillor Shambhu Rai son of father's name not known
5. Shambhu Rai son of Father's name not known chief Councillor, Nagar Parishad, Dehri, District - Rohtas.
6. Manjeet Kumar son of Father's name not known Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Dehri, District - Rohtas.
7. Sudarshan Prasad Singh
8. Ashok Kumar Singh
9. Ranjeet Kumar Singh
10. Randhir Singh
11. Anant Singh All sons of Jag Narayan Singh Opposite Party Nos. 7 to 11 are residents of Village - Mohan Bigha, Post - Dalmiya Nagar, Police Station - Dehri, District - Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar Choubey
For Opposite Party No. 5&6 : Mr. Avinash Kumar
Nagar Parishad, Delhi
For the State : Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, A.C to G.A 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2016 Heard the counsel for the petitioner as well as the

counsel for the respondent who represents O.P. No. 5 & 6.

A show cause in this case is filed on 09.05.2016. The

contempt application raises a grievance about willful/deliberate

disobedience of the order dated 18.02.2015 passed in C.W.J.C.

No. 2789 of 2015 (Annexure-1), at the hands of the opposite parties particularly the Executive Officer of the Nagar Parishad.

Along with show cause a reasoned order dated 07.05.2016 is enclosed which was passed by the Executive Officer of the Nagar Parishad disposing of representation of the petitioner without granting any relief on the plea that a serious dispute of title between the parties in respect of the subject land exists.

The counsel for the petitioner made submissions to challenge the legality/veracity of the said order. Regrettably, the correctness of the order passed by the opposite party in compliance of the order of this Court cannot be considered in contempt jurisdiction.

The petitioner, if so advised, may ventilate his grievance before appropriate Forum/Authority in accordance with law.

The contempt application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--