

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14397 of 2011

=====

Baby Kumari W/O Late Parmanand Singh, D/O Late Baleshwar Singh R/O
Manjhaul, P.S.-Cheriya Bariyarpur, Distt.-Begusarai.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Establishment Section, Begusarai Collectariate, Begusarai.
4. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amish Kumar

For the Respondent/s : AC to GA 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 11-03-2016 At the very outset, learned A.C. to Govt. Advocate –

12, by way of referring to averment made in the counter affidavit,

submits that now the writ petition has become infructuous. This

statement was not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

He submits that ofcourse such fact has been stated in the counter
affidavit, but despite the fact that learned counsel for the petitioner
tried to get instruction regarding the status of the claim of the
petitioner, he failed to get the same.

Accordingly, there is no need to keep the matter
pending.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--