

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11132 of 2011

=====

Vinod Kumar S/O Varun Das R/O Vill.- Balbhadrapur, P.S.- Ujiarpur,
Distt.- Samastipur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Human Resources Development Department, Patna
2. The Secretary Department of Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna
4. The Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna
5. The District Education Officer, Katihar
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Katihar
7. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Katihar
8. The District Education Office, Supaul
9. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Office, Zila Parishad, Supaul
10. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Supaul
11. The District Education Officer, Kahgaria
12. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Khagaria
13. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Kahgaria
14. The District Education Officer, Begusarai
15. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Begusarai
16. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Begusarai
17. The District Education Officer, Saharsa
18. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Saharsa
19. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Saharsa

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brishketu Saran Pandey
For the Respondent/s : AC to Addl. Advocate General 9
Mr. Nikesh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 14-01-2016 Heard Sri Brishketu Saran Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.C. to Addl. Advocate General – 9, learned counsel for the respondent no. 6 & 7 as well as Sri Nikesh Kumar, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of respondent no.

9, 12 & 15.

The petitioner has made a prayer for directing the respondents to declare him eligible for selection and appointment to the post of Assistant Teacher on the basis of degree issued by the Sahitya Alankar from Hindi Vidyapith, Deogarh.

At the very outset, Sri Nikesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent as well as learned State counsel submits that the issue regarding non-entertainment of the applications of the candidates, having qualification from Sahitya Alankar from Hindi Vidyapith, Deogarh has already been set at rest by this Court, reported in **2012 (4) P.L.J.R. 185 (Sudhanshu Shekhar –Vs.- State of Bihar)** as well as **2014 (4) P.L.J.R. 401 (Subhash Chandra Paswan –Vs.- State of Bihar)** and as such, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

On the point raised by the learned counsel for respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner was not in a position to dispute the proposition of law.

In view of facts and circumstances, there is no need to keep the matter pending.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--