

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12946 of 2011

=====

Sunita Kumari W/O Parmanand Sao, Advocate R/O Vill. Tatarhat, P.S. Halsi, Via + Distt. Lakhisarai.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Human Resources Development Dept., Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Primary and Adult Education Deptt., Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Director, Primary and Adult Education Deptt., Govt. of Bihar.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Lakhisarai.
5. The District Magistrate, Lakhisarai.
6. The District Superintendent of Education-Cum-Subdivisional Education Officer, Lakhisarai.
7. The Block Development Officer, Ramgarh Chauk, Lakhisarai.
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Ramgarh Chauk, Lakhisarai.
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Tatarhat, Vill. + P.O. Tatarhat, Distt.-Lakhisarai.
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Tatarhat, Vill. + P.O. Tatarhat Via + Distt.-Lakhisarai.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Upendra Prasad – 2
Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : AC to GP - 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8. 12-08-2016 Heard Sri Upendra Prasad No. 2, learned counsel, assisted by Sri Suresh Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.C. to Govt. Pleader – 10.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition pertains to a dispute in relation to appointment of Shiksha-Mitra, whereas, learned State counsel submits that after the cut-off date i.e. 31-07-2006, the post of Shiksha-Mitra has already been abolished and the said decision

has already been approved by the Full Bench of this Court.

In view of facts and circumstances, the Court considers that present writ petition has become infructuous.

The writ petition stands dismissed as same has become infructuous.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--