

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.24748 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -124 Year- 2014 Thana -BRAHMPUR District- BUXAR

=====

1. Ravindra Singh @ Ravindra Kumar Singh son of Sudama Singh Resident
of village - Bhusaula, Police Station - Barahara, District - Bhojpur at Ara.
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

5 05-01-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel

for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Brahmpur P.S.Case No. 124 of 2014 for the offences under
Sections 406, 420 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
though the allegation has been made that he along with one
Ramesh Kumar have accepted Rs. 5,15,000/-, the petitioner has no
connection with the said Ramesh Kumar or Balajee Company to
which the amount is said to have been transferred by the
informant. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has
been dragged in this case only because the petitioner has given a
sum of Rs. 1,15,000/- to the informant for providing job to his
son. He submits that the aforesaid amount was transferred, as
would appear from pass book of the Bank. From perusal of Bank

account it appears that a sum of Rs. 1,15,000/- was deposited by the petitioner in the account of the informant, which was subsequently transferred in the account of Balajee Company.

It is further submitted that considering the fact that the petitioner has deposited the amount in the account of the informant, which was subsequently transferred in the account of Balajee Company with which the petitioner has no connection, as such the case as made out against the petitioner, prima facie, is not justified.

The opposite party has also filed a counter affidavit.

In the counter affidavit the informant has not been able to explain why such deposit was made by the petitioner and transfer has been made by the parties.

Learned counsel for the State after perusal of the case diary submits that though the entire amount of Rs.5,15,000/- has been transferred in the account of said Balajee Company, there is nothing on the record to show that the petitioner is also a part of Balajee Company and has been functioning on its behalf.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be

released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs,. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Buxar in connection with Brahmpur P.S.Case No. 124 of 2014, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--