

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20671 of 2013

=====

Mostt. Jagtaran Devi, wife of Late Jai Mangal Rai Rakesh Resident of Village -
Pojhiya, Police Station Tariyani, District - Sheohar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The UCO Bank through the Regional Manager, UCO Bank, Maurya Lok
Complex, 4th Floor, New Dak Banglow Road, Patna - 800001, Bihar
2. The Manager, UCO Bank at Sibaipatti, Post Office and Police Station
Sibaipatti, District - Sheohar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Kameshwar Kumar, Advocate
For the Resp. Bank	: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-08-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondent bank.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a direction
to the respondents to transfer the entire balance amount lying in the
pension account no. 2085/P of the petitioner's late husband into the
petitioner's family pension account no. 16320100503155 operating at
UCO bank, Sibaipatti Branch, District Sheohar, with interest and cost.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the
petitioner's husband, late Jai Mangal Rai Rakesh, died on 26.01.2007
after having retired from service in the Indian Railways. He left behind
a sum of Rs. 1,67,683/- in his pension account no. 2085/P at the time of
his death, to which the petitioner claims to be entitled. Accordingly, she
submitted all relevant documents to the respondent bank as far back as
in March, 2007 itself.

4. This Court is of the view that the ends of justice will be
met if the petitioner is granted liberty to approach the Manager, UCO

Bank, Sibaipatti Branch at Sibaipatti, P.O. and P.S. Sibaipatti, District Sheohar (respondent no. 2) with an appropriate representation in this regard within two weeks from today. If any such representation is filed within the stipulated time, the respondent no. 2 shall consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law, after grant of an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, expeditiously and preferably within a further period of three months thereafter.

5. Considering that the application of the petitioner (Annexure-3 series) is said to be pending for more than nine years, the respondent bank shall sympathetically consider the petitioner’s claim for interest for the delayed transfer of the amount in question.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observations and directions.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	23.08.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.