WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.628 of 2016

==========

1. Ganesh Prasad Singh son of Shri Ram Dular Singh, resident of village Mathurapur, Post Office and Police Station Sanjhauli, District Rohtas.
2. Sunil Kumar son of late Fagu Singh, resident of village Nuranganj, Post Office and Police Station Sasaram, District Rohtas.
3. Hari Narayan Kumar son of Suresh Yadav, resident of village Nuranganj, Post Office and Police Station Sasaram, Ward No. 35, District Rohtas.
4. Chandan Kumar son of Mahendra Prasad Singh, resident of village Chakanwa, Police Station Indrapuri, District Rohtas.
5. Ramesh Yadav son of late Ram Raj Singh, resident of village Nuranganj, Post Office and Police Station Sasaram, District Rohtas.
6. Amrendra Singh son of Ram Naresh Singh, resident of village Jagdawandih Vishrampur, Police Station Sasaram, District Rohtas.
7. Harendra Singh son of Satya Narayan Singh, resident of village Dhankarh, Police Station Sasaram, District Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Forest, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector, Rohtas.
5. The Forest Officer, Tilauthu, District Rohtas
6. The Officer-in-charge, Tilauthu Police Station, District Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. K.N. Choubey, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, Advocate
Mr. Dineshwar Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jai Shankar Barnawal, GA-5
Mr. Sunil Kumar, AC to GA-12

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

5 03-10-2016 Heard Mr. K.N. Choubey, learned Senior Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioners, and Mr. Sunil Kumar, learned Assistant Counsel to Government Advocate No.12, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

Having regard to the fact that petitioners have not made any application to the competent authority for release of the vehicle, which is said to have been seized in connection with Forest Case No.56 of 2016, this writ petition cannot be maintained and is, therefore, disposed of with liberty granted to the petitioners to make appropriate application seeking release of the vehicle in accordance with law.

(I.A. Ansari,CJ)

Mkr./-

U			