

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8018 of 2016

- =====
1. Jagmohan Singh, Son of Sri Dashrath Singh, Resident of village and P.O. -Nachap, P.S. Manjhi, District - Saran at Chapra.
 2. Khaitoon Bibi, Wife of Sri Suleman Khan, Resident of village and P.O. Nachap, P.S.- Manjhi, District - Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Election Commission (Panchayat), Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna through the State Election Commissioner.
2. The State Election Commissioner, the State Election Commission (Panchayat), Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna
3. The Secretary, the State Election Commission (Panchayat), Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate -cum- District Election Officer (Panchayat), Saran at Chapra, District - Saran at Chapra.
5. The Block Development Officer -cum- Returning Officer, Panchayat Election, Manjhi Block, District Saran at Chapra.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.B.K. Manglam
For the Respondent-State	:	Mr. P.N.Shahi, AAG-10
For the Respondent-SEC	:	Mr. Amit Shrivastava
		Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 20-05-2016

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

The grievance raised and the relief prayed by the petitioners is, for a direction to the Commission for holding re-poll on Booth nos.25 and 26 of Gram Panchayat Raj, Bhajauna Nachap in the district of Saran, for the irregularities reported by the Patrolling Magistrate reduced to an F.I.R. placed at Annexure-1.

This matter was heard and adjourned and today on call, Mr. Shrivastava, learned counsel appearing for the

Commission has produced a letter of the State Election Commission dated 19.5.2016 addressed to the District Magistrate –cum- District Election Officer (Panchayat), Saran at Chapra fixing the date of re-poll on 28.5.2016. Let the letter of State Election Commission dated 19.5.2016 be maintained on the record of the proceedings and in the circumstances discussed, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--