

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15431 of 2014

=====

Shri Dinesh Kumar Deo son of Hirdaya Narayan Poddar, Secretary
(Honourary) Akhil Bhartiya Samajik Swasthya Sangh, District Branch
Khagaria, resident of mohalla Bishwanathganj, Ward No. 4, P.S. Khagaria,
District- Khagaria

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Kanhaiya Lal Jalan
2. Sumangal Prakash Jalan
3. Tribhuwan Prakash Jalan All sons of Late Chhathulal Jalan
4. Most. Bimla Devi Jalan wife of Late Sanket Mochan Jalan
5. Saket Jalan, son of Late Sankat Mochan Jalan
6. Jai Shree Jalan
7. Guddi
8. Munni All daughters of Late Sankat Mochan Jalan All resident of
village/Mohalla Bishwanathganj, under Municipality (Nagar Parishad),
Khagaria, P.S. and District Khagaria
9. Brajwala Devi, wife of Late Haria Ram Kedia, resident of mohalla and
P.O., P.S. Kumardubhi, District Dhanbad
10. Bishwanath Dalmian, H/o Late Nand Kumari
11. Giriraj Kishore Dalmian
12. Ram Kumar Dalmian
13. Sanjay Dalmiyan
14. Ajit Dalmiyan All sons of Late Nand Kumari Devi All resident of
village and P.O. and P.S. Habeli Kharagpur, District Munger
15. Manju Agrawal, daughter of Late Nand Kumari Devi, at Saket Plaza,
Jamal Road, Patna
16. Anju Agrawal, daughter of Late Nand Kumari Devi, at Jhauna Gardhi,
P.O., P.S. and District Deoghar
17. Sarita Agrawal, daughter of Late Nand Kumari Devi, at Palani Bazar,
P.O. P.S. and District Jhajha
18. Suman Agrawal, daughter of Late Nand Kumari Devi, at Family Kirana,
Saraiyaganj, Muzaffarpur
19. Pushpa Renu Devi, wife of Prof. Uma Shankar Agrawal, and daughter

of Late Chhathulal Jalan, Resident of Mohalla Naya Bazar,
Lakhimkheri, P.O., P.S. and District Lakhimpur Bazar, Kheri (U.P.)

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-10-2016

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner.

By the impugned order, the learned court below has rejected the petition filed by the judgment-debtor and has directed the decree holder to deposit the process fee effecting delivery of possession over the suit land. The learned court below has also mentioned that the status of the proceeding of the execution case was also called for by this Court.

After perusal of the impugned order, this Court does not find any illegality has been committed by the learned court below justifying the interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--