

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15958 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -296 Year- 2015 Thana -RAXAUL District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

Vishal Kumar, son of Ram Naresh Prasad Kushwaha, R/o Mohalla- Korla
Tola, Ward No. 24, P.S.- Raxaul, District- East Champaran

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Md. Shahnawaz Ali, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kumar No.1 (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

2 23-05-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case filed
under Section 386 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that he is
not named in the first information report rather his name has
appeared on the alleged self-incriminating confessional statement
of co-accused, Ramesh Kumar @ Chhotu. Even in the
confessional statement also, he has alleged that Sunil Patel was
engaged in such demand of extortion of money and petitioner was
supposed to collect and give it to such co-accused Ramesh Kumar
@ Chhotu. It is not stated even in the confessional statement that
petitioner was demanding extortion money. It is alleged that there
is absolutely no material against the petitioner except the aforesaid

confessional statement. Petitioner claims to be a business man having a clean antecedent.

Having regards to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today in Raxaul P.S. Case No.296/2015, the above named petitioner, Vishal Kumar shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000(Ten Thousand Rupees) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M., Raxaul at Motihari subject to the conditions as laid down under sub-section(2) of Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Further, at the time of furnishing bail bond, the court below will verify the antecedent of the petitioner. If the petitioner is found involved in any other case before the date on which present police case was lodged, he would be required to be taken in custody.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Sanjay-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--