

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.675 of 2015
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14892 of 2014
Along with
Interlocutory Application No. 2864 of 2015

- =====
1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Forest Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Chief Conservator of Forest Bihar, Patna.
 3. The District Magistrate Rohtas, Sasaram.
 4. The Authorized Officer-cum-Divisional Forest Officer Rohtas, Sasaram.
 5. The District Forest Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram.
 6. The Range Officer Sasaram Forest Area at Sasaram.
 7. The Forest Tilauthu Cum Darigaon, Forest Circle District- Rohtas.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Ibrahim Saddique, son of Sakur Saddique, resident of village- Kachhwah, P.S. Nasrignaj, District-Rohtas

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. D.K.Sinha, AAG-2

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA)

Date: 30-06-2016

Re.: I.A. No. 2864 of 2015

The application is for condonation of delay of 169 days in filing the Letters Patent Appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the Interlocutory Application, we are satisfied that the appellants have shown sufficient cause to seek condonation of delay of 169 days in filing the present Letters Patent Appeal. Consequently, the delay is condoned.

Interlocutory Application stands disposed of.

Re.: L.P.A. No. 675 of 2015

The challenge in the present Letters Patent Appeal is to an order dated 05.09.2014 passed by the learned Single Bench of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 14892 of 2014 and other analogous cases. Vide the order under appeal, the Vehicle No. BR-26/4915 seized on the allegations of transporting forest produce without any supportive papers was ordered to be released on furnishing of such security as deem fit and proper by the Divisional Forest Officer-cum-Authorized Officer subject to final outcome of the confiscation proceedings.

We find that the order passed is equitable and in the interest of justice so as to not deprive the owner of the vehicle from the use of the same pending finalization of the confiscation proceedings.

We do not find that such order of temporary release of vehicle suffers from any patent illegality or irregularity which may warrant interference in the present Letters Patent Appeal. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

(Hemant Gupta, J)

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Sujit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	05.07.2016
Transmission Date	