

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.779 of 2016
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18406 of 2015

M/s S & P Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd. a registered company having its registered office at N 07, New Delhi House, 27, Barkhamba Road, New Delhi 110001 through its authorized representative Sri Shatrudhan Mishra, S/o Late Mr. Ambika Mishra resident of House No. 29, Village Bhuhulwa Deeh, Post-Jhamahui, District- West Champaran

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sudhir Kumar the Principal Secretary Department of Road Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Engineer in Chief, Department of Road Construction, Govt. of Bihar, Patna, through Laxmi Narayan Das
3. The Chief Engineer, Department of Road Construction (NH Wing), Bihar, Patna through Ram Awdhesh Kumar
4. The Superintending Engineer, Department of Road Construction (NH Wing), Bhagalpur through Sunil Dhari Prasad Singh
5. The Executive Engineer, Department of Road Construction, N.H. Division, Bhagalpur through Binay Kumar
6. The Assistant Engineer, Department of Road Construction, N.H. Division, Bhagalpur through Rajbansh Singh
7. The Junior Engineer, Department of Road Construction, N.H. Division, Bhagalpur through Ram Dayal Ram
8. The Union of India through Sh. Sanjay Mitra, the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India, New Delhi
9. The Regional Officer, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India, Patna through Rajesh Kumar

.... Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gautam Kejriwal, Advocate
For O.P. nos. 3 to 7 : Mr. Dhurendra Kumar AC to GP 5
For O.P. Nos. 8 & 9 : Mr. Anshay Bahadur Mathur, CGC

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite parties.

2. The petition has been filed alleging deliberate violation of the order of this Court dated 17.12.2015 passed in

CWJC No. 18406 of 2015 requiring the authorities to consider the petitioner’s case and taking decision at their end.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly accepts that payment of all the admitted dues has been made by the opposite parties and the order of this Court stands complied with.

4. This contempt petition accordingly stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	24.11.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.