

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13668 of 2014

=====

Brijnandan Singh Dangi Son of Sarju Singh Dangi Resident of village- Pokhaha,
P.S.- Imamganj, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Block Programme Officer, Imamganj, District- Gaya.
4. The Rojgar Sevak, Gram Panchayat, Guriya Pakari, P.S. Imamganj, Distt- Gaya.
5. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Guriya Pakari, P.S. Imamganj, District- Gaya

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Saxena, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP15- SHARAD KUMAR SINHA

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the Respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a
direction to the respondent authorities for making payment to the
petitioner with regard to the work of carriage of sands to the
places for the works being done under the MGNREGA Scheme No.
28/11-12 of Gram Panchayat Pakari under District Gaya.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he has done the work under the MGNREGA Scheme and is
entitled for payment of Rs. 1,50,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the Respondents on the

other hand submits that payments have been made to the petitioner by two cheques dated 05.09.2014 for Rs. 70,000/- and dated 06.09.2014 for Rs. 48,500/- respectively.

5. Having regard to the nature of grievance as well as stand of the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the District Magistrate, Gaya (Respondent No. 2) for redressal of any remaining grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner's representation. In case the petitioner's claim is found inadmissible, the petitioner's representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

6. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--