

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11172 of 2013

- =====
1. Rakesh Kumar Singh Son of Shri Girijanandan Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 2. Om Prakash Singh Son of Late Ramashankar Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 3. Mukesh Kumar Son of Late Ramashankar Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 4. Rajesh Kumar Son of Late Shivnandan Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 5. Sangeeta Devi Wife of Shri Vinod Singh, Daughter of Late Shivnandan Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 6. Jai Prakash Singh Son of Late Ramashankar Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 7. Nandesh Kumar @ Nandesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Ramashankar Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 8. Prem Prakash @ Vinod Kumar Singh Son of Late Ramashankar Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran
 9. Mithilesh Dwivedi Wife of Shubhnarayan Dwivedi, D/O of Late Shivnandan Singh Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola- Gangapipar, P.O.- Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran

.... Petitioners

Versus

Raja Ram Mahto Son of Late Dasai Mahto Resident of Village- Raghapur, Tola Gangapipar, P.S.- Chiraiya, District- East Champaran

.... Respondent

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

3 23-09-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as

learned counsel for the respondent.

Gone through the order impugned in consonance with paragraph 8 of the plaint as well as paragraph 3 of the proposed amendment relating to paragraph 8 of the plaint.

Further plain reading of the same, it is apparent that whatever been pleaded in paragraph 8 is being supplemented by such proposed amendment thereupon the learned lower court ought to have allowed the same. Accordingly, order impugned relating to rejection of paragraph 3 of the proposed amendment is set aside.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

m.pal.

U		T	
---	--	---	--