

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.9983 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -16 Year- 2016 Thana -DAUDNAGAR District- AURANGABAD

- =====
1. Shankardayal Thakur Son of Yugal Kishore Thakur @ Yugal Thakur resident of Village - Mahmadpur, P.S. Daudnagar, District - Aurangabad.
 2. Kapildeo Thakur son of Late Padum Thakur resident of Village - Mahamadpur, P.S. - Daudnagar, District - Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 03-03-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, petitioners are seeking bail in connection
with Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 16 of 2016 registered for offence
under sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and 47(A) of the
Excise Act.

As per the first information report, the Police
personnel on secret information, arrived near the house of
petitioner whereupon he tried to flee away, he was apprehended.
When a search was done, huge amount of illegal wine was
recovered.

The counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the

first information report, petitioners were apprehended and so much so that in the first information report it has been mentioned that seizure list bearing the signature of the petitioners but the same is absent.

Looking to facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within 4 weeks, petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Daudnagar (Aurangabad) in connection with Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 16 of 2016, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. and whenever the Police will call the petitioners for investigation/interrogation, they will present themselves. If they would not present themselves, the privilege of this anticipatory bail will be deemed to have been cancelled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--