

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3254 of 2015

=====

Bashistha Rout son of Late Dharkhan Rout, resident of Shivajee Nagar
Sheohar, Police Station- Sheohar, Post & District- Sheohar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Bihar Education Project-cum-Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The State Programme Officer, Intrigrated Education, Bihar Education Project, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
5. The District Magistrate, Sheohar
6. The District Programme Officers (Primary Education and Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan), Sheohar
7. The District Education Officer, Sheohar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. Patanjali Rishi, AC to AAG-10
For the Respondent No. 2 : Mr. Girijesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 12-01-2016 Heard Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Patanjali Rishi, learned Assistant Counsel to Additional Advocate General No.10, appearing for the State respondent. Heard also Mr. Girijesh Kumar, learned Counsel, appearing for the respondent No. 2.

After some arguments, Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this application, made, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,

in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, with liberty to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

No objection has been raised by the respondents to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this writ application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority with appropriate application, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

However, we make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merit of the present writ petition.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--