

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.56190 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -229 Year- 2014 Thana -FORBESGANJ District- ARRARIA

=====

Anmol Yadav son of Late Anirudh Yadav, resident of Village- Jharkaha
Koshkapur, Police Station- Narpatganj, District- Araria.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md.Fahmuddin, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Forbesganj
P.S. Case No. 229 of 2014 (G.R.No. 1363 of 2014) registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 466, 467, 468,
469, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, a policy of Insurance Company was
obtained in the name of Shyam Narayan Yadav on 05.06.2012,
after paying the installment of Rs. 12,308.94/- in which the
petitioner was made nominee. Thereafter, on 27.10.2012, the
claim was made with death certificate of Shyam Narayan
Yadav dated 07.09.2012 but on enquiry, it was found that
Shyam Narayan Yadav had died on 28.11.2010 itself before
the policy was taken in his name.

Submission is of false implication and that the

complaint has been filed after much delay, no loss was caused to the Company of the complainant and the petitioner is suffering in custody since 07.09.2015. Charge-sheet has already been submitted and there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

Learned A.P.P. fairly submits that no loss was caused to the informant-complainant.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the detention of the petitioner, now, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria arising out of Forbesganj P.S. Case No. 229 of 2014 (G.R.No. 1363 of 2014), subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

ajaypd./-

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

U		T	
---	--	---	--