

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52919 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -337 Year- 2014 Thana -PAROO District- MUZAFFARPUR

- =====
1. Baby Devi @ Santi Devi wife of Satahu Paswan
 2. Satahu Paswan son of Late Bahadur Paswan
- Both residents of Village - Narsingpur Balhi, P.S. Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Renuka Ratnakar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

4 01-03-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 337 of 2014 registered for the offence punishable under Section 363/366A of the Indian Penal Code.


Allegedly, co-accused Deepak Kumar kidnapped Geeta Kumari aged 14 years the daughter of the informant and during investigation, the name of the petitioners also transpire that they are engaged in flash trade and human trafficking. The

victim girl after recovery has given her statement under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Submission is of false implication and that the victim girl has not stated the name of the petitioners in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and has only named Deepak Kumar, without any legal and tangible material the petitioners are suffering in custody since 11.03.2015, charge-sheet has already been submitted and there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence to which the learned APP opposes.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering detention of the petitioners, above named, now they are directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Special Judge, POSCO, Muzaffarpur in connection with Trial No. 31/15 arising out of Paroo P.S. Case No. 337 of 2014, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioners shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on their part without any reason shall

disentitle the petitioners from privilege of bail.



(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)